

Wisconsin Department of Commerce PECFA Program

BID NUMBER: 03-53213-3550-20

PECFA number: 53213-3550-20
BRRTS number: 03-41-003343
Site Name: Mian Oil Corporation
Site Address: 7520 West Stevenson Street, Milwaukee, WI

Program contact: Linda Michalets, Site Review Hydrogeologist
Program contact address: 101 W. Pleasant St., Suite 205, Milwaukee, WI 53212
Program contact phone: 414-220-5376

Submitted Questions and Responses

1. Has WDNR agreed that the upgradient extent is defined?

The WDNR did not provide any comments on the investigation reports when notified in February that this site was going out for public bid. Therefore, they thought the site investigation was complete.

2. Should the monitoring wells in which to show contaminant mass reduction be MW-1 and MW-2, rather than MW-2 and MW-3?

Please be aware that the locations of monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 were switched in the 1993 investigation. The current configuration indicates that MW-1 is in the northwest corner of the site and MW-2 is in the southwest corner of the site (see explanation in the September 16, 1998 letter, prepared by AES Consultants, Inc., included with the *Revised and Phase III Remedial Investigation Reports*). The Department selected monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 to provide evidence that remediation is successful (stable or shrinking groundwater plume). The Department anticipates that the PECFA funding cap will be sufficient for remediation of this site (\$1,000,000 for underground marketer). Therefore, calculation of the mass reduction at this site should not be necessary to achieve closed remedial action status.

3. Will WDNR require a utility investigation?

The WDNR did not identify the need for a utility investigation.

4. Are the neighboring property owners willing to accept a groundwater use restriction/deed notification?

The Department was not provided with that information. However, per Comm 46.06(1)(b), *"if an off-site owner does not accept the GIS registration or the groundwater use restriction, additional funding will still not be provided except for otherwise eligible post closure costs"* If the closed remedial action status can be achieved with an off-site groundwater use restriction, then that is the point at which PECFA funding will stop.

- 5. Is there documentation that the USTs abandoned in place are clean? Is the source considered removed?**

The three 4,000-gallon gasoline USTs were abandoned in-place in July 1993. The Department does not have copies of the tank closure assessment(s) for these tanks. In reference to the abandoned-in-place USTs as a source, per NR 726.05(2)(b) 1.a., adequate source control measures have been taken when *"all existing underground storage tanks have been removed, permanently closed or upgraded to prevent new discharges of hazardous substances to the groundwater"* The regulation states additional measures that constitute "source control."